

## Plenary Session RTD Lines 2&3

### Nutrigenomics: Towards Personalization of Dietary Recommendations

Jose M. Ordovas, Ph.D  
USDA-HNRCA at Tufts University, Boston, MA USA

#### Abstract

Changes in diet are likely to reduce cardiovascular disease, but after decades of active research and heated discussion the question still remains: what is the optimal diet to achieve this elusive goal? Is a low fat, as traditionally recommended by multiple medical societies? or a high monounsaturated fat as predicated by the Mediterranean diet? Perhaps a high polyunsaturated fat based on the cholesterol lowering effects? The right answer may be all of the above but not for everybody. A well-known phenomenon in nutrition research and practice is the dramatic variability in interindividual response to any type of dietary intervention. There are many other factors influencing response, and they include, among many others, age, sex, physical activity, alcohol, and smoking as well as genetic factors that will help to identify vulnerable populations/individuals that will benefit from a variety of more personalized and mechanistic based dietary recommendations. This potential could and needs to be developed within the context of nutritional genomics that in conjunction with systems biology may provide the tools to achieve the holy grail of dietary prevention and therapy of cardiovascular diseases. This approach will break with the traditional public health approach of "one size fits all." The current evidence based on nutrigenetics has begun to identify subgroups of individuals who benefit more from a low fat diet, whereas others appear to benefit more from a high monounsaturated or polyunsaturated fat (PUFA) diets. Of interest is the increasing evidence showing that when it comes to cardiovascular health, n-6 and n-3 families of PUFAs interact very differently with genetic variants to modulate cardiovascular risk factors. Thus, while some subgroups of individuals may be at higher risk from high consumption of PUFA n-6 [i.e., carriers of the minor allele at the APOA5-1131T>C single nucleotide polymorphism], others may greatly benefit from increased consumption of PUFA n-3 (i.e., carriers of the APOA5-1131C or the A allele at the IL1beta 6054G > A SNP). Another exciting series of findings relate to the relation between diet, obesity and metabolic syndrome where the perilipin (PLIN) gene appears to be playing a significant role. The current evidence suggests that the PLIN gene may help to identify in addition to those predisposed to obesity, those who will benefit from low caloric diets or alternatively from high complex carbohydrate diets. The continuous progress in Nutrigenomics will allow us to identify those persons for whom diet plays no major role in their risk CVD risk factors as well as those persons who may benefit from specific gene-based dietary advice. However, in order to gain knowledge in this area, the overwhelming amount of genetic data being generated needs to be balanced with reliable and comprehensive phenotypic information gathered over time in very large numbers of subjects. Unfortunately, the existing longitudinal studies lack, individually, the size needed to deal with the complexity of the gene-environment interactions modulating human health and disease, nor are the statistical tools ready to deal with these complex interactions. Moreover, the evidence needs to be supported by properly designed intervention studies. Personalized nutrition is a valid concept with potentially great benefits for disease prevention and optimal health and it is essential to dedicate effort and resources towards better understanding and translation of this complex field.